Policy Makers Assessment Report

CyberSecurity Summer BootCamp2021

◆incibe_

SPANISH NATIONAL CYBERSECURITY INSTITUTE

1 June 2021

ASSESSMENT REPORT FOR THE RECRUITMENT OF SPEAKERS PER THE CALL FOR PAPERS FOR THE CYBERSECURITY SUMMER BOOTCAMP, 2021 EDITION.

At 16.00 on Tuesday, 1 June 2021, the Jury regulated in section 7 of the Terms and conditions of participation, for the recruitment of CFP Speakers, of the Cybersecurity Summer BootCamp event, to assess the applications and make a recruitment proposal for the delivery of the workshops according to the Terms and conditions.

One - On 9 April 2021, the CALL FOR PAPERS' Terms and Conditions of participation were published to regulate the participation and recruitment of speakers at the next edition of the Cybersecurity Summer BootCamp event, organised by the S.M.E. Instituto Nacional de Ciberseguridad de España, M.P., S.A. (INCIBE), together with the Organization of American States (OAS), between 12 and 22 July 2021.

Two- The deadline for submission of proposals was 11 May 2021 at 23.59 (CET).

Three.- During the receipt period, the following were received at the address contacto_SummerBC@incibe.es, in order of receipt:

- 01_EVN, 19/04/2021 at 11.14. Ciberseguridad y compliance.
- 02_BSA, 19/04/2021 at 18.32. Análisis Pericial Forensic de contenidos informáticos en la empresa.
- 03_ES, 26/04/2021 at 01.47. Ciberseguridad Transversal e Integral: Gobernanza.
- 04_WAC, 26/04/2021 at 14.57. Controles claves a evaluar en la auditoría de ciberseguridad a sistemas de prevención del blanqueo de capitales (lavado de activos) y financiamiento del terrorismo (pla/ft), basada en las 40 recomendaciones del gafi.
- 05_SGC, 29/04/2021 at 19.30. Métricas L-OSINT (Legalitiy OSINT).
- 06_PBU, 05/05/21 at 19.33. Riesgos éticos y de ciberseguridad en la adopción de tecnologías emergentes.
- 07_ AFC, 06/05/2021 at 18.17. El nuevo marco regulatorio Europeo para la Ciberseguridad.
- 08_RFP, 07/05/2021, at 11.27. Responsabilidad Criminal de las empresas relacionas con el cibercrimen.
- 09_RSO, 08/05/2021, at 22.33. Investigando bajo identidades supuestas.
- 10_IFPP, 09/05/2021, at 19.37. Las criptomonedas como objeto de delito.
- 11_NBSG, 10/05/2021 at 12.00. Cooperación Internacional en el ámbito de la ciberdelincuencia.
- 12_IJN, 10/05/2021 at 19.15. Gestión del riesgo legal y técnico de una página Web. Aproximación jurídico-técnica para la integración de leyes sectoriales e internacionales y la securización.
- 13_FAB, 10/05/2021 at 20.26. Drones. Sistemas de gestión, control y vigilancia.
- 14_MAS, 11/05/2021 at 19.26. Evidencia Digital: análisis jurisprudencial y práctica forense.
- 15_DD, 11/05/2021 at 21.50. Exposición práctica de principales tipos de ataques, amenazas e incidentes en el ámbito de la ciberseguridad.

- ■ 16_OGC-JFBL, 11/05/2021 at 22.24. Metodología para la identificación y gestión de riesgos de seguridad digital / ciberseguridad en la adopción de tecnologías emergentes.
- ■ 18_GRV, 11/05/2021 at 22.50. Auditoría de la Gobernanza de ciberseguridad para ICS de IC.
- ■ 19_CGGA, 11/05/2021, at 23.14. Regulando el Ciberespacio 101: Taller para mejorar la técnica legislativa y no fracasar en el intento de regular Internet".

Four.- Candidates. The characteristics of candidates and proposals are per the section two of the terms and conditions and are set out in the following sections**.**

Any natural or legal person (the candidate) may submit a proposal, although the proposed speaker must be a natural person aged over 18 years and not an INCIBE employee or a student on a scholarship at INCIBE.

A minimum of 18 proposals will be selected for the Cybersecurity Summer BootCamp training programme:

- 6 workshops aimed at members of Law Enforcement Agencies who work in cybersecurity-related operational units (LEAs).

- 6 workshops aimed at technical staff working in Computer Security Incident Response Teams (CSIRTs).

- 6 workshops aimed at staff of regulatory or legislative bodies working in areas related to the legal and regulatory aspects of cybersecurity (policymakers) and current court and prosecutorial staff, government solicitors, civil servants working in the Administration of Justice (Public Prosecutor's Office, judges and prosecutors).

''In the event that additional needs for presentations, workshops or talks may arise in the event's agenda. To cater for this, the following will be drawn up:

a) Ranking of selected proposals: This list will be the best 18 proposals taking into account the limits for the types set out above and will be selected as the best proposals according to the assessment criteria set out in these terms and conditions.

b) Ranking of reserve proposals: The selection will follow the ranking of the proposals submitted from highest to lowest score for each type starting from the proposals not selected in the previous ranking.

c) **Five.- Proposals.**

All proposed workshops should focus on cybersecurity:

- ▪ Duration: 180 minutes.
- ▪ Target audience:
- ▪ Technical personneland/or professional experts in Cybersecurity.

- ▪ Price: €750.00 taxes and deductions not included.
- ▪ Types of formats proposed:
- ▪ Technical master classes.
- ▪ Live or pre-recorded demonstrations of technologies or techniques

Six.- The assessment process will be as follows:

1. It will be verified that the topic is related to cybersecurity and is one of the topics of interest described in this call for papers. Proposals that do not meet this requirement will be excluded.

2. The proposals will be assessed by applying the criteria set out in section 6 of these terms and conditions. Each proposal will be assessed with a score from 0 to 100, based on the following criteria:

   - Appropriateness to the theme of the event. From 0 to 20 points.
   - Concreteness and depth of treatment. From 0 to 20 points.
   - Testimonial value. From 0 to 20 points.
   - Practical approach. 0 to 15 points.
   - Didactic value. 0 to 10 points.
   - Clarity of exposition. From 0 to 10 points.
   - Differentiation and innovation. From 0 to 5 points.

3. A list is drawn up for each type of proposal. The ranking of the proposals will be from highest to lowest.

4. The ranking of selected and reserve proposals is drawn up as long as those proposals exceed the threshold of **60 points**.

AGREEMENTS

ONE.- After the deadline for submission of proposals, submitted all the documentation required in accordance with the requirements set out in the Terms and conditions of participation of the CALL FOR PAPERS, to regulate the participation and recruitment of speakers for the event POLICY MAKERS Cybersecurity Summer BootCamp 2021:

- 01_EVN
- 02_BSA
- 03_EN
- 04_WAC
- 05_SGC.
- 06_PBU
- 07_ AFC
- 08_RFP
- 09_RSO
- 10_IFPP
- 11_NBSG
- 12_IJN
- 13_FAB
- 4_MAS,
- 15_DD,
- 16_OGC-JFBL
- 18_GRV
- 19_CGGA

TWO.- As set out in section 7 of the selection procedure, the decision of this jury will be final. The assessment and ranking of proposals in order of highest to lowest, according to the criteria set out in the Terms and conditions is as follows:

ID	Total Score	Ranking Score
1_EVN	92.25	1
3_EN	88.00	2
10_IFPP	87.00	3
2_BSA	84.50	4
6_PBU	79.75	5
8_RFP	79.75	6
12_IJN	77.50	RESERVE 1
9_RSO	74.00	RESERVE 2
16_OGC-JFBL	74.00	RESERVE 3
19_CGGA	73.00	RESERVE 4
13_FAB	72.25	RESERVE 5
4_WAC	70.75	RESERVE 6
7_AFC	69.00	RESERVE 7
15_DD	66.50	RESERVE 8
11_NBSG	63.00	RESERVE 9
14_MAS	<60	ELIMINATED
5_SGC	<60	ELIMINATED
18_GRV	<30	ELIMINATED

The breakdown of the classification is shown in APPENDIX I.

THREE.- Notification by email to those accepted by the published deadline and per the Call for Papers; this will be done through the same email address that appears on the application form.

FOUR- That the selected proposals and the reserve proposals shall be published in a public notice on the website https://www.incibe.es/summer-bootcamp, per section three of the terms and conditions.

Selected:

1. **1_EVN** **92.25**
2. **3_EN** **88.00**
3. **10_IFPP** **87.00**
4. **2_BSA** **84.50**
5. **6_PBU** **79.75**
6. **8_RFP** **79.75**

Reserves:

1. **12_IJN** **77.50**
2. **9_RSO** **74.00**
3. **16_OGC-JFBL** **74.00**
4. **19_CGGA** **73.00**
5. **13_FAB** **72.25**
6. **4_WAC** **70.75**
7. **7_AFC** **69.00**
8. **15_DD** **66.50**
9. **11_NBSG** **63.00**

FIVE. - Finally, the meeting was adjourned at 16.50.

APPENDIX

ID	Thematic appropriateness	Treatment	Innovation and differentiation	Testimonial value	Clarity of exposition	Practical approach	Educational value	Score
01_EVN	20.0	20.0	5.0	20.0	7.5	10.0	9.8	92.25
02_BSA	15	20	2.3	20	7.5	10	9.75	84.50
03_EN	15	20	3.3	20	10	10	9.75	88.00
04_WAC	11	10	2	20	8	10	9.75	70.75
05_SGC.	10	10	1	10	5	8	9.75	53.75
06_PBU	15	20	5	10	10	10	9.75	79.75
07_ AFC	15	17.5	3.5	7.5	8.5	7.5	9.5	69.00
08_RFP	20	15	4.8	10	10	10	10	79.75
09_RSO	20	20	4	5	10	5	10	74.00
10_IFPP	20	20	4	15	8	10	10	87.00
11_NBSG	10	15	2	10	6	10	10	63.00
12_IJN	15	20	3	15	5	10	9.5	77.50
13_FAB	20	15	4.3	5	8	10	10	72.25
4_MAS,	10	10	2	15	8	7	5	57.00
15_DD,	15	20	1	5	8	12	5.5	66.50
16_OGC-JFBL	15	20	2	15	8	8	6	74.00
18_GRV	5	4.5	1	10	8	10	5.5	44.00
19_CGGA	17.5	20	1	15	7	5	7.5	73.00